

# NEW JERSEY MILITIA NEWSLETTER

Volume XVIII, Issue No. 8

February 2013

*All persons are by nature free and independent, and have certain natural and unalienable rights, among which are those of enjoying and defending life and liberty, of acquiring, possessing, and protecting property, and of pursuing and obtaining safety and happiness.*

-- Article 1, Section 1, New Jersey State Constitution

## Shocker! 'Vaccine' Prevents Gun Violence

By Lee Hieb, M.D.

Some physicians and physician groups have proposed that "gun violence" be considered a disease - that we should analyze public mass shootings as we would a disease and, using that paradigm, search for a cure.

Of course their cure is always disarmament of all citizens, not just criminals. But "evidence-based medicine" points to a very different approach.

In the late 18th century, a London physician, Edward Jenner, learned that dairy maids who got cowpox never contracted the more fatal smallpox. He speculated that cowpox somehow prevented smallpox and tested this theory by taking germs from the cowpox lesions and inoculating unexposed persons. These people were shown to survive smallpox outbreaks unscathed, and thus was born the science of immunology and the process of controlling the deadly disease of smallpox. This is real science - observation, testing and practice - no politics involved.

If we observe gun violence as a "disease," one thing is strikingly clear - this disease never strikes people known to be or potentially armed! It may be true that the recent Connecticut shooter was mentally ill, but he was not so crazy as to take on a police station. Neither he, nor any of the other similar shooters, decide to shoot up gun stores or NRA conventioners. They may be crazy, but apparently not that crazy.

No, they invariably pick "gun-free zones". And when confronted with an armed counterforce, they either surrender or shoot themselves. They do not wage gun battles against other armed people.

So, using the logic of Edward Jenner, the inoculation to prevent the disease of gun violence is putting guns into the hands of potential victims. So, thinking like Edward Jenner, let's see what happens when we do just that - arm citizens by permitting concealed carrying of firearms.

Dr. Jacob Deakins, in an excellent review of the subject, "Guns, Truth, Medicine and the Constitution," points out that both the U.S. National Academy of Sciences in 2004 and the Center for Disease Control in 2003 failed to find any written evidence that gun control reduced violent crime, suicides or gun violence.

Dr. Deakins goes on to cite John Lott Jr., who reviewed the FBI's yearly crime statistics for all 3,054 U.S. counties over 18 years (1977-1994). This constitutes the largest national survey of gun ownership and state police documentation in illegal gun use. Lott concludes:

While neither state waiting periods nor the federal Brady Law is associated with a reduction in crime rates, adopting concealed-carry gun laws cut death rates from public multiple shootings by 69 percent.

Allowing people to carry concealed weapons deters violent crime - without any apparent increase in accidental death. If states without right-to-carry laws had adopted them in 1992, about 1,570 murders, 4,177 rapes and 60,000 aggravated assaults would have been avoided annually.

When concealed-carry laws went into effect in a given county, murders fell by 8 percent, rapes by 5 percent and aggravated assaults by 7 percent.

For each additional year concealed-carry laws are in effect, the murder rate declines by 3 percent, robberies by

more than 2 percent and rape by 1 percent.

It is generally conceded that immunization of some percentage of a population confers decreased risk of disease on the entire group, not just those immunized - the so-called "herd immunity." So too, allowing people to carry concealed weapons confers some protection on those not carrying - because criminals and crazies never know if the person they confront will be armed.

Recently in New York State, a newspaper published a who's who of registered gun owners in two counties, giving out names and addresses. Part of the ensuing hue and cry came, not from those listed, but from people not on the list who had just been "outed" as being unarmed. They felt they had been put at risk by this information. So too every no-gun sticker on every hospital or school door puts occupants of the building at risk.

Edward Jenner wasn't the first person to invent vaccination - he was the first to fine tune it and sell the idea to the masses. More people have died as the result of smallpox than from all the wars combined, but now no one dies thanks to appropriate medical action.

How many unarmed populations will be genocidically murdered, how many shootings will take place in gun-free zones before we get the point, take appropriate action and allow weapons to be carried by those at risk and/or their defenders?

-- www.wnd.com 01/07/2013

## Will Salt Lake Sheriff Let Feds Grab Guns?

A group of Utah sheriffs sent a letter to President Barack Obama last week stating that they will not enforce

any new gun laws they believe to be unconstitutional.

28 of the 29 sheriffs in Utah signed off on the letter with only the Salt Lake County Sheriff, Jim Winder, opting out.

Summit County Sheriff David Edmunds says, "We are prepared to trade our lives in defense of the constitution of the United States."

Edmunds says the letter is a preemptive strike against the possibility of the president banning assault rifles, or restricting the amount of ammo in magazines.

While acknowledging respect for Obama's office, the sheriffs also issued a warning:

"[M]ake no mistake, as the duly-elected sheriffs of our respective counties, we will enforce the rights guaranteed to our citizens by the Constitution. No federal official will be permitted to descend upon our constituents and take from them what the Bill of Rights - in particular Amendment II- has given them."

-- Gregory Gwyn-Williams, Jr.  
cnsnews.com/blog, January 22, 2013

Ed.: Selected sheriffs are invited to the FBI training center at Quantico, Virginia, where they learn all about the federal assistance they can receive - if they play ball. Perhaps that is why the sheriff of Salt Lake County, Utah's largest county, has not dared to challenge the Obama administration.

### **Dept. of Defense: Protests equal "low level terrorism"**

Watch how this works.

First, the government responds to the September 11th attack by passing the *Patriot Act*, which is purportedly designed to protect us from foreign terrorists. Most of America cheers it on, never realizing that within the act is a broad definition for something categorized as domestic terrorism, or "activities that *appear* to be intended to intimidate or coerce a civilian population, or influence the policy of a government by intimidation or coercion."

Second, they pass the National Defense Authorization Act, which allows them, under the definitions for domestic terrorism set forth by the *Patriot Act*, to detain someone without trial and forever if they *appear* to be subverting the newly established status quo.

Third, they declare all federal property, or property being used for political events where Secret Service protection is present, as "events of national significance" through the Federal Restricted Buildings and Grounds Improvement Act. Undesirable

demonstrators are herded into court approved free speech zones.

Finally, the government security apparatus begins the re-education of its minions by labeling as "terrorists" anyone who dares speak out or disagrees with their new policy initiatives.

Even the very act of assembling with other like-minded people to influence policy by petitioning the Government for a redress of grievances can land you on the domestic terrorism list: An exam administered by the Pentagon labels "protests" as a form of "low-level terrorism"—enraging civil liberties advocates and activist groups who say it shows blatant disregard of the First Amendment.

The written exam, given as part of Department of Defense employees' routine training, includes a multiple-choice question that asks: "Which of the following is an example of low-level terrorism?"

- Attacking the Pentagon
- IEDs
- Hate crimes against racial groups
- Protests

The correct answer, according to the exam, is "Protests."

"It's part of a pattern of equating dissent and protest with terrorism," said Ann Brick, an attorney with the American Civil Liberties Union, which obtained a copy of the question from a DoD employee. "It undermines the core constitutional values the Department of Defense is supposed to be defending," Brick said, referring to the First Amendment right to peaceably assemble.

After many years of a slow but steady erosion of our right to speak freely, to assemble peaceably, to bear arms, to be safe and secure in our persons, and to be given due process in a court of law, we begin to see the sinister end game emerge.

Our entire system of government, the one established by our Founding Fathers, is being systematically dismantled.

-- M. Frank Drover,  
www.thedailysheep.com Jan. 17, 2013

### **DOJ in love fest with SPLC hate mongers**

Judicial Watch, a Washington D.C. based non-partisan educational foundation, released emails it obtained on Tuesday revealing connections between the Department of Justice Civil Rights and Tax divisions and the Southern Poverty Law Center.

According to JW, the e-mails reveal "questionable behavior by agency personnel" while negotiating for SPLC co-founder Morris Dees to appear as the featured speaker at a July 31, 2012, "Diversity Training Event." JW obtained the records pursuant to a Freedom of Information Act submitted on September 10, 2012.

The FOIA request was prompted by an apparently politically motivated shooting at the Family Research Council (FRC) headquarters in August, 2012. At the time of the shooting, FRC president Tony Perkins accused the SPLC of sparking the shooting, saying the shooter "was given a license to shoot... by organizations like the SPLC that have been reckless in labeling organizations as hate groups because they disagree with them on public policy." On its website, the SPLC has depicted FRC as a hate group," along with such mainstream conservative organizations as the American Family Association, Concerned Women for America [and, of course, its perennial whipping boy, the militia].

JW filed the request to see if any of SPLC's branding of hate groups had an influence on government agencies. SPLC's diversity speech "was to be simulcast to everyone's PC throughout the Department" which fulfilled DOJ's "mandatory annual diversity training."

The emails show communications between DOJ personnel and SPLC personnel, including Dees:

July 16, 2012 – "The AAG's office want to take Morris out to lunch before the 1:30 pm July 31 remarks ... I'd pick Morris up at his hotel at 11:30 am if that worked for him."

July 23, 2012 – "I will pick you [Morris Dees] up at the airport July 30. Would you go out to dinner with my wife and me and our two teenage daughters that first night? The girls need some inspiration from a master of inspiration."

"The SPLC has taken to labeling organizations with conservative views on social issues as 'hate groups,'" said Judicial Watch President Tom Fitton.

"Given these fawning emails, one would have thought that a head of state was visiting. The SPLC is an attack group, and it is disturbing that it has premier access to our Department of Justice, which is charged with protecting the First Amendment rights of all Americans. And these emails further confirm that politically-correct 'mandatory' diversity training programs

are a waste of taxpayer money," Fitton claimed.

DOJ has worked with other left-wing interest groups in the past, collaborating with the NAACP in 2009 when DOJ dismissed the voter intimidation lawsuit filed against the New Black Panther Party. DOJ was also found to be working with the ACORN-connected Project Vote prior the 2012 election.

-- Kerry Pickett, 4 Feb 2013, [breitbart.com](http://breitbart.com), "Emails Expose Southern Poverty Law Center Collaboration with DOJ"

### **Futuristic rifle turns novice into sharpshooter**

By Wilson Rothman

We came to Las Vegas the first week of January for the Consumer Electronics Show. The vast trade show features over 3,300 exhibitors, and covers 1.9 million square feet. But there are no shooting ranges at CES. We had to drive out to the hills outside of town.

As someone who not only *isn't* a marksman but pretty much avoids guns altogether, I approached the TrackingPoint rifle a bit gingerly. However, when the company's president, Jason Schauble, walked me through it, I realized that as long as I paid attention (and observed the basic safety rules of firearms), I would be able to hit that target without trouble. Not 15 minutes later, I did — at a distance of nearly seven football fields.

How does it work? A laser rangefinder identifies the target, and tells the gun where to aim to hit it, given conditions such as humidity, wind, and the typical ballistic drop you'd expect from a bullet shot from a gun at such a distance.

You pick your target by dropping a pin on it using the camcorder-like zoom lens. When you want to shoot that target, you line up crosshairs inside the scope with the pin you dropped. The weirdest thing is, when you squeeze the trigger, it doesn't fire. You have to squeeze the trigger *and* line up the crosshairs with your mark. When you do, the gun goes boom, and the target takes a bullet.

No matter where you are on the gun debate, the technology used is an impressive system. The rifle will be available soon from TrackingPoint.

-- [www.nbcnews.com](http://www.nbcnews.com) 1/13/2013; *Wilson Rothman is the Technology & Science editor at NBC News Digital*

### **15-year old boy uses AR-15 against home invaders**

A 15-year boy used his father's AR-15 to defend himself and his 12-year old sister against two burglars at their home just north of Houston, Texas.

Their father is a Harris County deputy constable, and the boy knew what he had to do to keep himself and his sister alive. Around 2:30 pm, two men tried to break in, with one going through the front door and one through the back.

The boy grabbed the AR-15 and shot at them. The two later showed up at a Tomball hospital. The adult was hit three times and was flown to Memorial Hermann hospital, while the juvenile was taken back to the crime scene.

"We don't try to hide things from our children in law enforcement," Lt. Jeffrey Stauber said. "That young boy was protecting his sister. He was in fear for his life and her life."

More stories are coming out about armed citizens defending their lives and property with legally owned firearms. In the span of a week, Breitbart News has reported how a mother used a .38 revolver against an intruder, a man used his weapon to protect his 2-month old son, and a Colorado man invoked the Make My Day law in Colorado in using lethal force to defend himself against three intruders.

Senator Dianne Feinstein and others are trying to push through major gun control laws that would include banning the AR-15, the same gun used by the teenage boy.

-- Mary Chastain, [www.breitbart.com](http://www.breitbart.com) 10 Jan. 2013

### **Who needs a gun in a garage?**

A couple went face-to-face with an unlikely visitor to a Brookfield, Mass., home on Sunday: a wild bobcat.

Roger Mundell, 53, discovered the animal in his garage, police said. Before he had a chance to get his bearings, the bobcat lunged at him.

"It only took a split second for him to be on me," Mundell told WHDH, an NBC station in Boston.

Mundell sustained a large gash on his forehead and puncture wounds on his arms during the attack.

Amid the frenzy, Mundell and his wife managed to pin the animal to the ground with a walking crutch. While Mundell kneeled on the bobcat,

his wife darted inside to retrieve a handgun, which Mundell used to shoot and kill the animal.

-- [usnews.nbcnews.com](http://usnews.nbcnews.com) 2013/01/07

### **On Rambo's new pic "Bullet to the Head"**

We all know that Sylvester "Rambo" Stallone is the biggest hypocrite in Hollywood. He is responsible for more reckless acts with a firearm than Hamas, Hezbollah, and Al Qaeda combined, yet he makes statements to rival Diane Feinstein: "Until America, door to door, takes every handgun, this is what you're gonna have. It's pathetic. It really is pathetic. It's sad. We're living in the Dark Ages over there," he told a British interviewer.

His new movie, out soon, is a revenge pic, called "Bullet to the Head"! He stars as a gun-abusing hitman. Hopefully lawful gun owners will skip this one.

-- VCDL Update 1/28/13

### **"A free society depends of a virtuous and moral people"**

Our Constitution, which was intended to limit government power and abuse, has failed. The Founders warned that a free society depends on a virtuous and moral people.

If it is liberty we seek, should most of the emphasis be placed on government reform, or trying to understand what "a virtuous and moral people" means and how to promote it?

If the people are unhappy with the government's performance, it must be recognized that government is merely a reflection of an immoral society that rejected a moral government of constitutional limitations of power and love of freedom.

-- Excerpt from Rep. Ron Paul's farewell address to Congress, Nov. 14, 2012

### **"Black Skin Privilege"**

For decades, at the hand of progressives white males have been the prime villains in the nation's classrooms, and the principal targets of disapprobation and presumptive guilt on the general political culture as well. [Take for example] the public lynching of three white students at Duke University. There are no more sacred principles on campuses generally than racial tolerance, diversity and inclusion, which extend to every race but whites.

When three white members of the Duke lacrosse team were accused

*In the beginning of change the patriot is a scarce man, brave, hated and scorned. When his cause succeeds, however, the timid join him, for then it costs nothing to be a patriot. — Mark Twain*

of rape by a black prostitute, on no evidence whatsoever, the university expelled them, the lacrosse coach was fired, the lacrosse season terminated, their names were published and 88 members of the Duke faculty signed an open letter condemning their racist deed.

While the faces of the innocent accused were plastered across the national media the accuser's name was withheld – even after her criminal libels were exposed.

The three students were indicted by a district attorney who was seeking votes in an election year among a constituency that was largely black and now racially inflamed (although the national media averted its eyes from this aspect of the case as well).

Leading the calls for punishment before trial were racial agitators Jesse Jackson and Al Sharpton. A professor of English named Houston Baker emerged as Duke's homegrown racial arsonist; leading a posse of faculty members in an ad in the *Duke Chronicle* Baker charged that "white male privilege" had permitted the alleged perpetrators of "this horrific, racist incident" to remain "safe under the cover of silent whiteness." Whiteness had given them "license to rape maraud, deploy hate speech and feel proud of themselves in the bargain."

A year later the three lacrosse players were exonerated, and the district attorney was sacked as conclusive evidence showed that there had been no rape and they were innocent of any crime. But the mob leaders Jackson, Sharpton and Baker never had to face consequences for their malicious deeds, never were made to apologize for their racism, or concede that that's what it was. Call that immunity *black skin privilege*.

In the liberal culture, black skin privilege has created an optical illusion, persuading progressives that white-on-black attacks are commonplace events, rather than the other way around. In fact, there are five times as many black attacks on whites as the reverse. According to the National Crime Victimization Survey, which relies on crime victims to identify their assailants, 320,082 whites were victims of black violence in 2010, the latest year for which statistics are available, while 62,593 blacks were victims of white violence. But these raw statistics understate the pattern. In 2010 the white and black populations in the U.S. were 197 and 38 million respectively. In other words blacks committed interracial violence at a rate *25 times higher* than

whites (849 per 100,000 versus 32 per 100,000).

Black skin privilege guarantees not only exemptions from intellectual and political standards that others are required to meet but from moral standards as well. What white celebrity, having shot his brother as a juvenile, dealt cocaine as an adult and stabbed a rival business executive with a five-inch blade could count a U.S. president among his friends and be invited to host his political fund-raisers? But rapper Jay-Z did exactly that during Obama's 2012 re-election run, and both he and the president could remain confident that no one would suggest it was a problem.

Racial privilege [including racial preferences] does more than merely damage the unlucky ones who are its victims. When enforced by government and backed by law it tears at the very fabric of the social order, regardless of whom it benefits. Building racial bias into the framework of the nation compromises the neutrality of the law that governs us all...It creates a racial spoils system that is the antithesis of the American dream.

-- "Black Skin Privilege and the American Dream" by David Horowitz & John Perazzo, Freedom Center 2013

### **Pentagon Unveils DARPA-made Mule-drone**

By John Connor, Human Resistance Leader

Let the human-hunting begin!

Military researchers working for the Pentagon have released video footage of one of its newest projects, the Legged Squad Support System, and are touting the creation as a cyborg-style animal drone that looks like a horse or a mule.

The lab has released video footage on the Internet of the unmanned, four-legged robot nicknamed the LS3. The name of war-profiteer Boston Dynamics is proudly displayed on the mechanical beast.

The Defense Advanced Research Project Agency says that the mule-like machine will be able to carry upwards of 400 lbs of weapons and supplies over all sorts of terrain, similar to a trained animal. Additionally, the overpriced metal horse is expected to act as an auxiliary power source to recharge handheld devices used in the field. The video shows the equine monster clambering over rocks and up steep hillsides with heavy weights on its sides. It charges through bushes without a thought.

### **Human Resistance Please**

**Note:** please have armor-piercing AP ammo to wreck this creepy thing. Also, you can easily foul up its legs with a fishing net. It isn't smart enough to untangle itself – yet.

-- The Sovereign, November 2012

### **Treasury Secretary to ban firearm imports?**

Jack Lew **MUST NOT** be Treasury Secretary.

Bet you didn't know what's hidden in The Gun Control Act of 1968.

The Treasury Secretary is vested with the right to ban importation of any firearm he finds "does not have a sporting purpose."

While this authority is "currently" delegated to the BATFE, it doesn't have to remain delegated. In fact, there is nothing legislative about that delegation to the best of my knowledge, which means it can be revoked by pure fiat.

Jack Lew, the person most-likely to be nominated to replace Geithner, is Obama's current Chief of Staff. As such it must be presumed that he will do whatever Obama wants, within the limit of his statutory authority.

And that authority includes the ability to ban the import of any gun.

The Gun Control Act of 1968 should be repealed. But so long as it stands, no person who comes from inside the Obama administration can be considered for confirmation to the Treasury Secretary's post, and any such person must be made subject to strict scrutiny on their 2nd Amendment views. -- Karl Denniger, [www.marketticker.org](http://www.marketticker.org) 2013-01-08

### **\*\*Gun owners\*\* are part of the problem**

**\*\*Gun owners\*\*** who enjoy all the benefits of activist efforts while allowing others to carry the entire load are every bit the enablers of citizen disarmament as overt enemies of the right to keep and bear arms.

In other words, they are part of the problem!

### **Why Police Lie Under Oath**

By Michelle Alexander

People plead guilty because they know that the odds of a jury's believing their word over a police officer's are slim to none. As one of my colleagues puts it, "Everyone knows you have to be crazy to accuse the police of lying."

But are police officers necessarily more trustworthy than alleged criminals? I think not. In this era

of mass incarceration, the police shouldn't be trusted any more than any other witness, perhaps less so.

Law enforcement officials have put the matter more bluntly. Peter Keane, a former San Francisco Police commissioner, decried a police culture that treats lying as the norm: "Police officer perjury in court to justify illegal dope searches is commonplace."

In 2011, hundreds of drug cases were dismissed after several New York City police officers were accused of mishandling evidence.

NYC officers have been found to engage in deceit in cases involving charges as minor as trespass. In September Jeannette Rucker, the chief of arraignments for the Bronx DA, claimed police officers provided false written statements and false testimony.

Mr. Keane offered two major reasons the police lie so much. First, because they can. "The judge always rules in favor of the officer." Second, defendants are typically poor and uneducated, often belong to a racial minority, and often have a criminal record.

All true, but there is more to the story than that.

Federal grant programs encourage law enforcement agencies to boost drug arrests in order to compete for funding. Agencies receive cash rewards for arresting people for drug offenses, no matter how minor. Law enforcement has increasingly become a numbers game. Numerous scandals involving police officers lying or planting drugs - in Tulia, Tex. and Oakland, Calif., for example - have been linked to federally funded drug task forces.

Even where no clear financial incentives exist, the "get tough" movement has warped police culture to such a degree that police chiefs and individual officers feel pressured to meet arrest quotas in order to prove their "productivity."

NYC police commissioner Raymond W. Kelly denies that his department has arrest quotas. But as the Urban Justice Center's Police Reform Organizing Project has documented, numerous officers have contradicted Mr. Kelly. In 2010, a NYC police officer named Adil Polanco told ABC News that "our primary job is not to help anybody, our primary job is not to assist anybody, our primary job is to get those numbers." He continued: "At the end of the night you have to come back with something. You have to write somebody, you have to arrest somebody, even if the crime is not committed, the number's there. So our choice is to come up with the number."

Lying makes quota systems and financial incentives that reward the police for the sheer numbers of people stopped, frisked or arrested especially dangerous. One lie can destroy a life, resulting in the loss of employment, a prison term and relegation to permanent second-class status. The fact that our legal system has become so tolerant of police lying indicates how corrupted our criminal justice system has become. And, no, I'm not crazy for thinking so.  
-- N.Y. Times, 03 Feb. 13

### **Rights are not granted by the Constitution**

Opponents of the Second Amendment always try to diminish the Amendment by asserting that rights are not absolute. This is just another straw man argument because the Amendment is about imposing a restraint on the powers of the federal government concerning a right: not granting a right or defining the extent of a right. In addition, a review of the Second Amendment shows that the restraint imposed by the Amendment does not contain any exceptions.

If firearms owners continue to use terms like "constitutional right" or "Second Amendment right" to describe the right to keep and bear arms, the federal government will continue to violate the restraint and assert the power to impose restraints on the right. In order to begin correcting this perversion of the Bill of Rights, supporters of the Second Amendment need to use the term "Second Amendment restraint" to describe the purpose and effect of the Amendment.

By using correct terminology, supporters of the right to keep and bear arms can change the dynamics of the debate. For example. What would be the response if the firearms community made the following statement when discussing the issue: "We do not have a constitutional or Second Amendment right to keep and bear arms. We have the right to keep and bear arms and that right was secured from federal encroachment by the additional restraint imposed on federal power by the Second Amendment." Not only is this statement constitutionally correct, but it could also transform the debate into a teachable moment that goes beyond the Second Amendment.

-- Excerpt from "The 2A: Constitutional Right or a Governmental Wrong?" by Robert Greenslade, Feb. 2013

### **Another botched ATF operation exposed**

The Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives has launched an internal investigation into a flawed storefront sting in Milwaukee.

The review was launched this week after a *Journal Sentinel* investigation exposed the operation during which an agent's Colt M-4 machine gun was stolen and burglars ripped off \$35,000 in merchandise from the agency's phony store.

Sen. Tammy Baldwin (D-Wis.) on Friday joined a chorus of public officials demanding accountability from the ATF.

On Thursday, two other letters from members of Congress were sent to the ATF, one from Sen. Ron Johnson (R-Wis.), the other from four senior members including those who led the congressional investigation into the ATF's flawed Fast and Furious operation in Arizona, where agents encouraged the sale of more than 2,000 firearms to traffickers by gun stores but lost track of the weapons. Many ended up at crime scenes in Mexico [and in the U.S., where Border Patrolman Brian Terry was killed by one of the weapons].

The Milwaukee sting resulted in about 30 people charged, most with low-level drug and gun counts. In at least three cases, agents recommended charges against the wrong person, including a man who was in prison on a previous ATF case at the time he was accused of selling drugs.

ATF spokeswoman Ginger said that the ATF uses storefront operations as a tool "to keep firearms and narcotics out of the hands of criminals."

Besides the stolen guns and burglary, agents left behind a sensitive ATF operational plan that listed undercover agents' real names, vehicle descriptions, cellphone numbers and secret signals used when busting a suspect.

David Salkin, who unknowingly rented his building to the agency for its undercover operation, said the ATF owes him about \$15,000 because of damage to his building, unpaid utility bills and lost rent. The agency contends the amount is much smaller. An ATF attorney warned him to stop contacting the agency, saying if he continued to do so it could be considered harassment under federal law. Several residents in the Riverwest neighborhood, where the store was located, are upset the agency brought drug dealers and gun-carrying felons to their neighborhood.

-- Milwaukee *Journal Sentinel*,  
www.jsonline.com, Feb. 1, 2013

## Newspaper Removes Controversial Map of Gun- permit Owners

The controversial interactive map of gun-permit owners in two New York counties was taken off-line Friday after hundreds of complaints.

The Journal News insisted it was not removing the map due to the pressure, instead saying the database had most likely been viewed by those interested readers and that the information would become outdated over time.

Twenty-seven days ago, The [Gannett media empire-owned] White Plains, N.Y.,-based newspaper published the names and addresses of residents in Westchester and Rockland counties with gun permits in the wake of the Dec. 14 elementary school shootings in Connecticut.

The map sparked outrage from conservatives, gun advocates, and other groups from around the country, leading the newspaper to hire armed guards to protect staffers who had received threats to their safety.

Several bloggers responded by posting similar maps with the names and addresses of key Journal News staffers and executives.

The New York State Rifle & Pistol Association, called for a nationwide boycott of the paper's advertisers including Best Buy and CVS.

Even the statewide Affiliated Police Association said it would hold the newspaper accountable if any of its members were targeted because they were listed on the map.

At least two homes listed on the map have been burglarized — with thieves taking guns, gun boxes, and permits, among other items — though authorities have declined to immediately link the incidents to the interactive map.

Meanwhile, New York legislators responded by passing laws that allow permit holders to request confidentiality and that impose a 120-day moratorium on the release of permit-holder data.

Saying that "hundreds of threats were made to Journal News staffers," publisher Janet Hasson said, "In the wake of the Sandy Hook shootings, the Journal News thought the

community should know where gun-permit holders in their community were, in part to give parents an opportunity make careful decisions about their children's safety.

"The Journal News....conveyed a powerful message: gun-permit holders are everywhere in our counties."

--www.newsmax.com 25 Jan 2013

## ***The Sword and Sovereignty: The Constitutional Principles of "the Militia of the Several States" (Constitutional Homeland Security)***

By Edward Vieira, Jr

With *The Sword and Sovereignty*, Edwin Vieira, Jr., has given us a magisterial work that meticulously documents the history of the early American Militias and why similar units must be revitalized today if we are to adequately restore the republic that the Founders gave us. It is not a book that can be read lightly; it demands a tolerance for legal thought and abstract conceptualization. But the effort will be most rewarding. You will be shown an entirely new way of seeing things regarding guns, militia, the Second Amendment, homeland security, how they intertwine.

For the first 125 years of our history, the "Militia of the several States" was a highly honored institution that played a vital role in preserving the concept of federalism upon which our system of freedom depends. This ended with the Militia Act of 1903, which shifted the "Militias of the several States" into National Guard units under the auspices of the national military. State and local control was eliminated.

In addition, as Vieira tells us, decades "of disuse, misuse, and abuse have so thoroughly muddled the meaning of 'Militia' in contemporary American political discourse that the word is hardly ever encountered except as invective, usually well-freighted with vituperative adjectives such as 'extremist' and 'violent', broadcast by the enemies of constitutional government (and their dupes and other 'useful idiots') for the purpose of intimidating into silence the people they intend to oppress as soon as the vast majority of

Americans has been thoroughly disarmed through one form of 'gun control' or another."

The monstrosity of today's centralized Homeland Security Department in Washington is not needed; a revival of the "Militias of the several States" and unequivocal acknowledgement of the people's right to bear arms will give us everything we require. This will decentralize "security" in the country and help greatly to check the ominous peril of the military-industrial complex.

It is important to understand that Vieira is NOT proposing "private" Militias, the likes of which we have seen in recent years from racial supremacy groups and neo-Nazi extremists. What Vieira is proposing is the revitalization of governmentally created and legitimized Militia units among the states that our Constitution calls irrevocably for. These will be legislated and regulated by the state governments. They will be official government bodies in all the towns and cities of the land, not rogue factions that operate from wilderness hideouts.

*The Sword and Sovereignty's* message will go a long way toward restoring that resplendent America we lost. It is a profoundly patriotic work of powerful impact that can direct our intelligentsia toward a rediscovery of our real roots. Any thinking man or woman today who fears for America's survival needs to tackle this book

-- . Customer Review by  
Nelson Hultberg Feb. 10, 2013,  
amazon.com

\* \* \*  
"[It is a basic principle of a tyrant] to unarm his people of weapons, money, and all means whereby they resist his power." -- Sir Walter Raleigh (1554-1618)

\* \* \*  
"Tyranny is the exercise of power beyond right...when the governor...makes not the law, but his will, the rule." --John Locke (1632-1704)

\* \* \*  
"The condition upon which God hath given liberty to men is eternal vigilance." -- John Philpot Curran (1750-1817)

**NJM, P.O. Box 10176, Trenton New Jersey 08650**

ISSN 1523-4657

[www.njmilitia.org](http://www.njmilitia.org)

info@njmilitia.org

[walnor@keepandbeararms.com](mailto:walnor@keepandbeararms.com)

Middlesex County, Art (732) 607-0833

Morris County, Bill (973) 361-3241

Johnson County, TX, Earl (817) 783-2375

Wake County, NC, Dave (919) 295-4008

**Newsletter Subscription - Donation \$10.00**

Cash or Blank Money Order Only

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_

City \_\_\_\_\_ State \_\_\_\_ Zip \_\_\_\_\_